

COURT NO. 1
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL
PRINCIPAL BENCH, NEW DELHI

27.

MA 1005/2024 IN OA 376/2023

MWO (HFO) Bikrama Singh (Retd) Applicant
Versus
Union of India & Ors. Respondents

For Applicant : Mr. A K Chaudhary, Advocate
For Respondents : Mr. Vijendra Singh, Advocate

CORAM

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJENDRA MENON, CHAIRPERSON
HON'BLE LT GEN C.P. MOHANTY, MEMBER (A)

ORDER
05.12.2024

MA 1005/2024

Learned counsel for the respondents have produced an order passed by this Tribunal on 23.10.2024 in OA 376/2023, which was disposed of on the very first date without issuing notice to the respondents, based on the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Civil Appeal No. 2471 of 2023, decided on 11.04.2023, titled Director (Admn. and HR) KPTCL and Others vs. C.P. Mundinamani and Others (2023 SCC Online SC 401). In this judgment, the Supreme Court directed the respondents to either grant the benefit to the applicant or verify the records and pass appropriate orders. In compliance with the aforementioned order passed by this Tribunal on 23.10.2023 in OA 376/2023, the respondents have now issued a

speaking order. In Para 4 of the said order, they have indicated reasons as to why the applicant is not entitled to the increment as directed, based on the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of Director (Admn. and HR) KPTCL and Others vs. C.P. Mundinamani and Others (supra).

2. Taking note of the above, no further indulgence into the matter is called for. The applicant, if aggrieved by the manner in which the claim has been rejected, shall have the liberty to challenge the order in accordance with the law.

3. In view of the above, the MA stands disposed of.

[JUSTICE RAJENDRA MENON]
CHAIRPERSON

[LT GEN C.P. MOHANTY]
MEMBER (A)

/Ps/